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## Executive Summary

As part of the Victorian Government's commitment to make the rail network safer, Protective Services Officers [PSOs] are being deployed to patrol Melbourne's metropolitan, and selected regional train stations, from 6pm until the last train.

This report presents the findings from research conducted by DBM Consultants regarding PSOs and the safety perceptions of night-time metropolitan train users and the Greater Melbourne community.

## Methodology

The research was conducted in two stages, the first stage in June 2012 (the Benchmark study) and the second stage in June 2013 (the Evaluation study).

For both stages of the research:

- Approximately 1,700 night-time train users (each year) were surveyed at six train stations Box Hill, Frankston, Epping, Noble Park, South Yarra and Yarraville; and
- Approximately 1,000 people (each year) were surveyed from an accredited online panel to provide a representative sample of Greater Melbourne community members (these respondents included both train users and non train users).

The six stations were chosen as they had no PSOs patrolling at the time of the Benchmark study and had PSOs in place for at least one month at the time of the Evaluation study. These stations represent both staffed and unstaffed stations.

## Key Findings

## 1. Perceptions of Safety

Night-time train users and Greater Melbourne community members were asked about their safety perceptions at train stations and on-board trains across weekdays (Mon to Thurs) and the weekend (Fri to Sun), and early evening (6 to 10pm) and late evening (10pm to 2am).

Perceptions of safety night-time train users
The Evaluation study showed that overall safety perceptions were generally high among nighttime train users:

- Overall, safety perceptions at train stations significantly increased, for all times of the night and days of the week that were asked, between Benchmark and Evaluation;
- Weekday early evenings were reported as being the safest ( $84 \%$ on-board trains and $86 \%$ at train stations), whilst weekend late evenings were reported to be the least safe ( $54 \%$ safe onboard trains and $52 \%$ at train stations);


## Executive Summary

- $82 \%$ of night-time train users were aware that PSOs patrolled the station at which they were surveyed;
- Those that knew PSOs patrolled the train station at which they were surveyed tended to feel safer at train stations; safety perceptions of weekend late evenings were $11 \%$ higher for those that knew the train station at which they were surveyed had PSOs patrolling versus those that didn't;
- The top two reasons night-time train users cited for feeling safe at train stations and on-board trains were there being plenty of people around ( $22 \%$ ) and PSO presence (18\%);
- The top two reasons night-time train users cited for feeling unsafe were the presence of too many drunks/drug users (28\%) and anti-social behaviour (18\%).


## Perceptions of safety Greater Melbourne Community

The Evaluation study also showed that overall safety perceptions among members of the Greater Melbourne community were:

- High during the daytime ( $81 \%$ on-board trains and $80 \%$ at train stations).
- For night-time travel (after 6 pm) weekday early evenings were perceived to be the safest ( $49 \%$ safe on-board trains and $46 \%$ at train stations), whilst weekend late evenings were perceived to be least safe ( $22 \%$ safe on-board trains and $20 \%$ at train stations).
- The top two reasons Community members cited for not feeling safe were similar to night-time train users being: the presence of too many drunks/drug users (18\%) and anti-social behaviour (17\%).


## 2. Awareness of PSOs and PSO Powers

Night-time train users and the Greater Melbourne Community members were asked about their awareness of PSOs, including their knowledge of PSO powers.

- $94 \%$ of night-time train users were aware of PSOs, which is a significant increase from Benchmark (62\%).
- $83 \%$ of Community members were aware of PSOs, which is a significant increase from Benchmark (77\%).
- A majority of Community members correctly identified the powers and key responsibilities of PSOs.


## Executive Summary

## 3. Level of agreement on PSOs

Night-time train users and Greater Melbourne Community members were asked about their perceptions of PSOs including whether they thought PSOs were a good idea, and if they would readily seek assistance from PSOs should it be required. The Evaluation study results showed that:

- $94 \%$ of night-time train users and $90 \%$ Community members believe that PSOs patrolling is a good idea.
- $93 \%$ of night-time train users and $89 \%$ Community members reported that they would readily seek assistance from PSOs should it be required.
- $86 \%$ of night-time train users and $79 \%$ Community members agreed that PSOs have made or will make their night-time train travel safer.
- $83 \%$ of night-time train users and $77 \%$ Community members responded that PSOs make them or will make them feel safer when leaving a train station.
- $59 \%$ of night-time train users and $59 \%$ Community members agreed now that there are PSOs at train stations they use, they would travel more often by train at night (after 6 pm ).
- $66 \%$ of Community members who were parents or guardians of children 19 years and under agreed that they would be happier about their children travelling by train at night with PSOs patrolling at train stations. Of the $19 \%$ who disagreed they would be happier, $38 \%$ reported this was because their child/ren were too young to travel on public transport and should not be out that late at night.


## 4. Views on PSOs' responsibilities

Community members were asked an open-ended question about the most important thing PSOs should be doing to make Melbourne's train system feel safer and more secure. Community members felt that regular patrols and being visible were the most important things that PSOs should do (35\%).

## Background \& Methodology

- As part of the Victorian Government's commitment to make the rail network safer Protective Services Officers [PSOs] are being deployed to patrol Melbourne's metropolitan, and selected regional train stations, from 6pm until the last train.
DBM Consultants were commissioned by Public Transport Victoria to conduct independent and representative research regarding PSOs and the safety perceptions of night-time Metropolitan train users and the Greater Melbourne community.
- The research took place in two stages. In June 2012 a Benchmark study was conducted in the very early stages of the roll-out of PSOs. Now that deployment is under-way, a follow-up Evaluation study took place in June 2013, which is the focus of this report. Comparisons are made against the Benchmark study.
- To ensure data collected during the Benchmark and Evaluation studies was representative, the following interviewing methodology was used:

In-situ interviewing at six Train Stations

| Train Stations Surveyed <br> (No PSO presence at <br> Benchmark; <br> PSO presence at <br> Evaluation) | Benchmark 2012 <br> Completed Interviews | Evaluation 2013 <br> Completed Interviews |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Box Hill | 303 | 294 |
| Frankston | 304 | 295 |
| Epping | 161 | 190 |
| Noble Park | 299 | 297 |
| South Yarra | 302 | 306 |
| Yarraville | 357 | 305 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 6}$ | 1,687 |
| Timing* | Tues 12 |  |

Online interviewing of Melbourne residents (Community survey)

|  | Benchmark 2012 <br> Completed Interviews | Evaluation 2013 <br> Completed Interviews |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Community Survey | 1,013 | 1,009 |$|$| Tues 11th June - |
| :---: |
| Tues 18th June 2013 |

- A representative sample of adults aged 16 and over residing in the Greater Melbourne area were contacted via an online panel (SSI). Respondents included train users and non-train users.


## Night-Time Train Users - Train Stations

 General InformationGeneral information for the train stations used for this study is outlined below.

| Station | Box Hill | Frankston | Epping | Noble Park | South Yarra | Yarraville |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Type | Premium | Premium | Premium | Premium | Premium | Host |
| Platforms | 3 platforms (2 on island platform) | 2 platforms in island arrangement | 2 platforms in island arrangement | 2 platforms | 6 platforms with 2 island platforms (so platforms 1 , <br> $2 / 3,4 / 5,6$ ) | 2 platforms - one up and one down |
| Exit | Entry/Exit through one gateway into Box Hill Shopping Centre. | 2 exit gate points (1 through station building, 1 on side of station building) to 1 exit point. | 1 exit gate point through station concourse. | Exit gate points on platform. Up platform building manned, access through paved area separated from road access to car park. Down platform access via flat area to road. | Exit gate point through single entry/exit point on Toorak Road through main station building and ticket office ( $n o$ direct line of sight to platforms). | Exit gate points on platform. Up platform building manned during AM peak, with ramps from smal bus station. Down platform ramp access to Anderson Rd. |

Island Flat/slope entry Stairs and lift to Pedestrian foot Platform access Also step access Platform has exit point to bus platform level access under rail from the station off platform to escalator/stair/ station and street and ticket office line under station is via a ramp. small commuter
Other lift access, no shopping (no direct line of platforms. sight to car park behind down platform.

## Considerations for Interpreting Results

There are a number of factors that should be considered when interpreting the research results:

- The train stations were chosen based on the proposed PSOs roll-out timetable to ensure there had been no PSOs patrolling at these stations prior to the Baseline study, whilst ensuring that they had PSOs in place for at least one month before the Evaluation study. Both staffed and unstaffed stations were included since the range of opinion amongst train users may be assumed to differ at each.
- Regarding the night-time train users research (Benchmark and Evaluation surveys), interviewing was conducted on platforms and on concourses between 6 pm and midnight by fully trained interviewers;
- Data from the In-situ surveys are unweighted;
- Data from the Community surveys has been weighted to reflect the profile of the Greater Melbourne Metropolitan population aged 16 and over for age and gender.

Though all of these considerations should be taken into account when interpreting this data, the impact on results are minimal. The recruitment and surveying approach ensures that results cover a range of locations, station types, profiles of night-time train users and the Greater Melbourne community, and are as comprehensive, thorough and representative as the scope of this project allows.

Results are shown only for sample sizes greater than $n=30$, and results with sample sizes of $n<100$ have been marked with an asterisk. These results should be interpreted with caution.

The results in this report represent topline findings at an overall level from both the Benchmark and Evaluation studies conducted with night-time train users and the Greater Melbourne community.

Please note that nett proportions (\% 6-10) may not equal the sum of the component proportions (ratings of $6,7,8,9$ and 10) in the charts. This is because the nett proportion was calculated using the sum of the component frequencies, and not the sum of the component proportions.

## Night-Time Train Users - Overall Safety Perceptions At Train Stations

Safety perceptions of train stations among night-time users were generally high (rating six to ten out of ten), and had significantly increased across the different times of night and days of week in the Evaluation study, when compared to Benchmark.

Similar to Benchmark, there were notable differences across certain times of night and days of week, for instance, weekday early evenings (Monday to Thursday, 6 pm to 10 pm ) were generally considered safest at train stations ( $86 \%$ rating of six to ten out of ten), whilst weekend late evenings (Friday to Sunday, 10pm to 2 am ) were considered least safe at train stations ( $52 \%$ ).

More night-time train users reported that they would feel very safe at Train Stations (eight to ten out of ten) than very unsafe (zero to two out of ten) for all days of the week and times of night, in the Evaluation study. Even late weekend evenings, where $24 \%$ felt very safe versus $14 \%$ very unsafe in the Evaluation study.


## Night-Time Train Users - Overall Safety Perceptions On-board Trains

Safety perceptions on-board trains among night-time users were generally high (rating six to ten out of ten), and significantly increased for early evening ( 6 pm to 10 pm ) across all days of the week when compared to Benchmark.

Similar to Benchmark, there were notable differences across certain times of night and days of week, for instance, weekday early evenings (Monday to Thursday, 6 pm to 10 pm ) were generally considered safest on-board trains ( $84 \%$ rating of six to ten out of ten), whilst weekend late evenings (Friday to Sunday, 10pm to 2 am ) were considered least safe on-board trains at $54 \%$.

More night-time train users reported that they would feel very safe On-board Trains (eight to ten out of ten) than very unsafe (zero to two out of ten) for all days of the week and times of night, in the Evaluation study. Even late weekend evenings, where $27 \%$ felt very safe versus $13 \%$ very unsafe in the Evaluation study.


## Night-Time Train Users - Top 5 Reasons for Feeling Safe/Unsafe at Train Stations \& On-board Trains After 6pm

Based on comments made by night-time train users, the main reasons for feeling safe at train stations and on-board trains after 6pm were because there are plenty of people around (22\%), PSO presence makes them feel safer ( $18 \%$ ) and there's a good level of security measure such as good lighting, security cameras, and emergency buttons (14\%).

The main reasons night-time train users reported feeling unsafe were because they believe there are too many drunks and drug-users around (28\%), there's anti-social behaviour such as smoking, bad language, violence and graffiti ( $18 \%$ ) and there are too many undesirable people around ( $15 \%$ ).


## Top 5 Unsafe Reasons at Train Stations \& On-board Trains


"The presence of other people such as staff on trains and security and police." Box Hill
"I now get off the train at Noble Park Station because of the PSO officer and feeling safer." Noble Park

## Night-Time Train Users - Awareness of PSOs PSOs Patrolling at Train Stations

More than eight in ten (82\%) night-time train users were aware that PSOs patrol the train station where they were surveyed at for the Evaluation study.

Those surveyed at Epping (94\%) and Noble Park (96\%) were significantly more likely to report that PSOs patrolled that train station when compared to the Total level for night-time train users. In contrast, those surveyed at South Yarra (65\%) and Yarraville (77\%) were significantly less likely than the Total level for night-time train users to report that PSOs patrolled the train station where they were surveyed. Further, those surveyed at Frankston and South Yarra were significantly more likely than Total night-time train users to report there were no PSOs that patrol the train station where they were surveyed.

The lower levels of reported awareness at PSO patrolling at South Yarra and Yarraville could be due to higher levels of 'Don't Know' being reported at these stations, as well as the relatively short length of time that PSOs had been patrolling South Yarra (around one month). Further there are a relatively large number of platforms and train lines that run through South Yarra station; and the station layout for Yarraville could also have had an influence.


## Night-Time Train Users - Overall Safety Perceptions At Train Stations by Train Station (1)

Based on nett 6-10 safety perception ratings at train stations, night-time train users perceived early evenings ( 6 pm to 10 pm ) across all days of the week to be safer, with significant increases in safety perceptions at the Total level, and for Frankston and Noble Park when compared to Benchmark.

Nett 6-10 safety perception ratings at train stations were higher for night-time train users surveyed at Box Hill on weekday early evenings when compared to Benchmark ( $77 \%$ to $87 \%$ ). Additionally, those surveyed at Yarraville perceived weekend early evenings as being safer at train stations than Benchmark for night-time train users ( $74 \%$ to $84 \%$ ).

Safety Perceptions At Train Stations: by Train Station


Q4. How safe or unsafe do you generally feel when at train stations? (0 to 10 scale; $0=$ Extremely Unsafe; $10=$ Extremely Safe)
Six Melbourne Train Stations Surveyed
Base: All in-situ respondents ( $n=1,726$ ) for Benchmark, $(n=1,687)$ for Evaluation, figures reported exclude Don't know
, Indicates a statistically significant difference between two waves, with a $95 \%$ confidence level

## Night-Time Train Users - Overall Safety Perceptions At Train Stations by Train Station (2)

Based on nett 6-10 safety perception ratings at train stations, night-time train users perceived late evenings ( 10 pm to 2 am ) across all days of the week to be safer at the Total level and for Frankston and Noble Park when compared to Benchmark. Also compared to Benchmark, safety perception ratings at train stations were higher for night-time train users surveyed at Yarraville ( $56 \%$ to $67 \%$ ) on weekday late evenings, and those surveyed at Epping perceived weekend late evenings as being safer at train stations than Benchmark for night-time train users (30\% to 42\%).
In contrast, night-time train users perceived South Yarra to be less safe on late evenings across all days of the week when compared to Benchmark. This could be due to the lower level of awareness that PSOs are already present at South Yarra, also safety perceptions among very frequent train travellers tended to be higher, and less very frequent users were surveyed at Evaluation when compared to Benchmark..

## Safety Perceptions At Train Stations: by Train Station

## Mon-Thurs 10pm-2am



Q4. How safe or unsafe do you generally feel when at train stations? (0 to 10 scale; $0=$ Extremely Unsafe; $10=$ Extremely Safe)
Six Melbourne Train Stations Surveyed
Base: All in-situ respondents ( $n=1,726$ ) for Benchmark, $(n=1,687)$ for Evaluation, figures reported exclude Don't know
人气 Indicates a statistically significant difference between two waves, with a 95\% confidence level

## Night-Time Train Users Train Stations Safety by Station Seen/Not Seen PSOs

Safety perceptions of train stations among night-time train user's were lowest on weekend late evening, further analysis was conducted to see if there are differences in safety perceptions between those who were aware that PSOs patrol the station they were surveyed at (Seen) and those who were not aware or didn't know (Not Seen).

Night-time train user's safety perceptions of train stations on weekend late evenings were significantly higher among users who have seen a PSO at the station they use when compared to those who have not seen a PSO at the Total level and also for Frankston and South Yarra.
(Please note - Noble Park and Epping are not shown for this breakdown as the vast majority surveyed at these two stations reported being aware of PSOs patrolling, which meant there was insufficient sample sizes to compare between the two subgroups.)


■ 0 to 2 - 3 to $4 \square 5$ - 6 to 7 - 8 to 10 OMean

## Night-Time Train Users - Overall Safety Perceptions At Train Stations by Time of Travel

In order to understand if experience of train travel at the different times of night influenced safety perceptions, overall safety perceptions at train stations was looked at by times of train travel in the last 12 months.

Nett 6-10 safety perception ratings at train stations among night-time train users who travelled at the particular time significantly increased across both early and late evening travel for all days of the week when compared to Benchmark.

Safety Perception of those that Travelled at Specified Time in Last 12 Months


Q4. How safe or unsafe do you generally feel when at train stations? (0 to 10 scale; $0=$ Extremely Unsafe; $10=$ Extremely Safe)
Q2. Which of these times of day have you travelled by metropolitan train in the last 12 months?
Base: All in-situ respondents ( $n=1,726$ ) for Benchmark, ( $n=1,687$ ) for Evaluation, figures reported exclude Don't know (Each graph is filtered to those that travel at that time.)
Indicates a statistically significant difference between two waves, with a $95 \%$ confidence level

## Community - Overall Safety Perceptions At Train Stations

Overall, the Greater Melbourne community's safety perceptions remained stable between Benchmark and Evaluation. Daytime travel (prior to 6 pm ) was considered safest ( $80 \%$ rating of six to ten out of ten). The next perceived safest time for train travel was weekday early evening (MonThur 6 pm to 10 pm ) ( $46 \%$ rating of six to ten out of ten), followed by weekend early evenings (FriSun 6 pm to 10 pm ) ( $36 \%$ rating of six to ten out of ten).

Late evenings ( 10 pm to 2 am ) were more likely to be perceived as unsafe, rather than safe, particularly at train stations on the weekend where $46 \%$ stated they would feel very unsafe (zero to two out of ten) compared to 6\% feeling very safe (eight to ten out of ten).

## Safety Perceptions - Train Stations



## Community－Overall Safety Perceptions

## On－board Trains

Similar to safety perceptions at train stations，the Greater Melbourne community＇s safety perceptions on－board trains have remained stable．Daytime travel（prior to 6 pm ）was considered safest $(81 \%$ rating of six to ten out of ten）．The next perceived safest time on－board trains was weekday early evening（Mon－Thur 6 pm to 10 pm ）（ $49 \%$ rating of six to ten out of ten），followed by weekend early evenings（Fri－Sun 6pm to 10pm）（38\％rating of six to ten out of ten）．

Late evenings（ 10 pm to 2 am ）were more likely to be perceived as unsafe，rather than safe， particularly on the weekend where $42 \%$ stated they would feel very unsafe（zero to two out of ten） compared to $7 \%$ feeling very safe（eight to ten out of ten）．
Safety Perceptions－On－board Trains


During the During theıMon－Thurs Mon－Thursi Fri－Sun Fri－Sun IMon－Thurs Mon－Thursi Fri－Sun Fri－Sun Ext．Unsafe day（prior day（prior 16 pm－10pm6pm－10pml6pm－10pm6pm－10pm110pm－2am10pm－2am110pm－2am 10pm－2am

| to 6pm） | to 6pm） | B＇mark | Eval． | B＇mark | Eval． | B＇mark | Eval． | B＇mark | Eval． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B＇mark $(\mathrm{n}=968)$ | Eval． （ $\mathrm{n}=968$ ） | （ $\mathrm{n}=913$ ） | （ $\mathrm{n}=925$ ） | （ $\mathrm{n}=918$ ） | （ $\mathrm{n}=925$ ） | （ $\mathrm{n}=886$ ） | $(\mathrm{n}=900$ ） | （ $\mathrm{n}=894$ ） | （ $\mathrm{n}=925$ ） |
| 日 0 |  | －3 to |  |  | $\square 6$ |  | 8 to |  |  |

## Community - Top Reasons for Feeling Safe/Unsafe at Train Stations \& On-board Trains After 6pm - By User Type

Although the Community members were asked why they felt both safe or unsafe at train stations and on-board trains after 6pm, the majority of the Community members gave comments as to why they felt it was unsafe.

At the Total level, the main reasons for feeling unsafe at train stations and on-board trains after 6pm were because the community believed that there are too many drunks and drug-users around (18\%) and there is anti social behavior such as smoking, bad language, violence and graffiti (17\%), these were also the main reasons cited by Community members who use trains during the day only, as well as those who have travelled after 6pm. Those that travel after 6 pm were also most likely to cite a reason as to why they felt safe ( $15 \%$ that there are plenty of people around).

The main reason for feeling unsafe among those in the community who were not train users was the fear that they will be attacked and having seen or heard negative stories from media or word of mouth stories (23\%).
 Q16 Still reflecting on your feelings of safety at train stations and on board trains, why do you feel safe [if any of Q4 b to e or Q5 b to e is rated 0-4 insert: or unsafe] at train stations and on board trains after 6pm?

Question was introduced in the Evaluation study, therefore there are no results from Benchmark
Base: All community respondents for Evaluation ( $n=1,009$ ).
Subgroups defined as - None: Q1=10 (Never personally travel on metropolitan trains in and around Melbourne)/ Day Only: Q2=1, but not 2,3,4,5 or 6 (In the last 12 months, only travelled by metropolitan train in and around Melbourne during the day before 6pm) / Travelled After 6pm: Q2=2,3,4 or 5 (In the last 12 months, travelled at least once by metropolitan train in and around Melbourne between 6pm to 2pm across all days of the week).

## Community - Overall Safety Perceptions At Train Stations by Time of Travel

As with night-time train users, the influence of time of train travel on safety perceptions was examined.
Nett 6-10 safety perception ratings at train stations among Community train users who travelled at the particular time remained stable for daytime travel (prior to 6pm) or early evening across all days of the week when compared to Benchmark.

Safety Perception of those that Travelled at Specified Time in Last 12 Months


Q4. How safe or unsafe do you generally feel when at train stations? (0 to 10 scale; $0=$ Extremely Unsafe; $10=$ Extremely Safe)
Q2. Which of these times of day have you travelled by metropolitan train in the last 12 months?
Base: All Community respondents ( $n=1,013$ ) for Benchmark $(n=1,009)$ for Evaluation, figures reported exclude Don't know (Each graph is filtered to those that travel at that time.)
几亿 Indicates a statistically significant difference between two waves, with a $95 \%$ confidence level

## Overall Safety Perceptions <br> At Train Stations - Night-Time Train Users vs. Community

Night-time train users (In-situ survey) were more likely to feel safer at train stations at night (either from 6pm to 10pm or from 10pm to 2am), both during the week (Mon-Thurs) and the weekend (FriSun), than the Greater Melbourne community (Community survey, with both train and non-train users).

For the Evaluation study, safety perceptions at train stations among night-time train users significantly increased at both early and late evenings across all days of the week when compared to Benchmark.

## Safety Perceptions - Train Stations



## Overall Safety Perceptions On-board Trains - Night-Time Train Users vs. Community

Night-time train users (In-situ survey) were more likely to feel safer on-board trains at night (either from 6 pm to 10 pm or from 10pm to 2am), both during the week (Mon-Thurs) and the weekend (FriSun), than the Greater Melbourne community (Community survey, with both train and non-train users).

For the Evaluation study, safety perceptions on-board trains among night-time train users significantly increased at both early and late evenings across all days of the week when compared to Benchmark.

## Safety Perceptions - On-board Trains



## Night-Time Train Users - Awareness of PSOs

Half of night-time train users (50\%) were not aware of any staff dedicated to patrolling train stations in and around Melbourne at night (other than police officers, transit police, station staff and ticket inspectors), a significant change from $76 \%$ at Benchmark. Close to half ( $47 \%$ ) of night-time train users were aware of PSOs, a significant change from $21 \%$ at Benchmark.

When the term Protective Services Officers or PSOs was introduced to those unaware, significantly more night-time train users ( $64 \%$ from $42 \%$ ) reported being aware of them before the survey in the Evaluation study than the Benchmark study. Further, when all night-time train users were shown images of PSOs, the majority ( $94 \%$ ) reported they had seen one, a significant increase from $62 \%$ at Benchmark.
The survey methodology used and the fact both PSOs and Police uniforms look similar should also be taken to account when interpreting this data.


Q6. Other than police officers, transit police, station staff and ticket inspectors, are you aware of any other people whose role it is to patrol train stations in and around Melbourne at night? Base: All in-situ respondents ( $n=1,726$ ) for Benchmark, $(n=1,687)$ for Evaluation
Q7. Before this survey were you aware of Protective Services Officers, or PSOs. Base: In-situ respondents unaware of any other people patrolling at night ( $n=1,429$ ) for Benchmark, ( $n=923$ ) for Evaluation
Q8. Have you ever seen a PSO at any train station in and around Melbourne, or on-board a train? Base: All in-situ respondents ( $n=1,726$ ) for Benchmark, ( $n=1,687$ ) for Evaluation亿 Indicates a statistically significant difference between two waves, with a 95\% confidence level

## Community - Awareness of PSOs

More than four-fifths of the Greater Melbourne community (83\%) reported being aware of PSOs, a significant increase from Benchmark (77\%). The largest change in how Community respondents became aware is the increase in those seeing them at stations (Benchmark: 16\%, Evaluation: 40\%).

Fifty-nine percent of Community respondents reported having actually seen a PSO at a train station in and around Melbourne, or on-board a train, a significant increase from $32 \%$ at Benchmark.

As with the night-time train users the survey methodology used and the fact both PSOs and Police uniforms look similar should also be taken to account when interpreting this data.


Q7. Before this survey were you aware of Protective Services Officers, or PSOs. Base: All Community respondents ( $n=1,013$ ) for Benchmark, ( $n=1,009$ ) for Evaluation
Q8. How did you become aware of Protective Services Officers (PSOs)? Base: All Community aware of PSOs ( $n=768$ ) for Benchmark, $(n=838)$ for Evaluation
Q9. Have you ever seen a PSO at any train station in and around Melbourne, or on-board a train? Base: All Community respondents ( $n=1,013$ ) for B'mark, ( $n=1,009$ ) for Evaluation Indicates a statistically significant difference between two waves, with a $95 \%$ confidence level

## Night-Time Train Users - Overall Level of Agreement on PSOs

Agreement on PSOs among night-time train users significantly increased in the Evaluation study for readily seeking assistance from PSOs if they felt unsafe ( $91 \%$ to $93 \%$ ) and now that there are PSOs, they will/do feel safer when leaving a train station ( $80 \%$ to $83 \%$ ). In contrast, agreement for night time train travel will belis safer significantly decreased ( $90 \%$ to $86 \%$ ). This was due to a significant decrease for moderate agreement (rating six to seven out of ten - $18 \%$ to $12 \%$ ) and in contrast, a non-significant increase for strong agreement (rating eight to ten out of ten - $72 \%$ to $74 \%$ ). There was also a significant increase in neutral ratings ( $5 \%$ to $8 \%$ ), and no increase in disagreement.

Six in ten $(59 \%)$ agreed they would travel more often at night (after 6pm) if there were PSOs at train stations. Given that some respondents would have no need to travel more often after 6 pm this is also a relatively high score.


Q10. Please indicate your level of agreement ( 0 to 10 scale; $0=$ Completely Disagree; $10=$ Completely Agree).
Base: All in-situ respondents $(n=1,726)$ for Benchmark, $(n=1,687)$ for Evaluation, figures reported exclude Don't know
Indicates a statistically significant difference between two waves, with a $95 \%$ confidence level

## Community - Overall Level of Agreement on PSOs

The community's level of agreement on PSOs was also high, and has remained stable when compared to Benchmark. Nine in ten ( $90 \%$ ) agreed that PSOs patrolling is a good idea, and that they readily would seek assistance from PSOs if they felt unsafe (89\%). Eight in ten of Community respondents gave 6-10 agreement ratings that night time train travel will be/is safer (79\%) and 77\% rated that they will/do feel safer when leaving a train station where PSOs were patrolling.

Six in ten (59\%) agreed that having PSOs patrol train stations would/do influence them to travel more often by train at night (after 6pm).


## Community - Overall Level of Agreement on PSOs By Non-Users \& Users

The community's level of agreement on PSOs was significantly higher among users of trains than non-users for the statements 'Night time train travel will belis safer', 'Now that there are PSOs, will/do feel safer when leaving a train station' and 'Would/do travel more often by train at night (after $6 \mathrm{pm})$ '. Agreement that 'PSOs patrolling is a good idea', and that they would readily seek assistance from PSOs, was similar between train users and non-train users.


## Community - Overall Level of Agreement on PSOs for Those Responsible for Children

Two-thirds (66\%) of parents or guardians of children agreed to some extent (rating of six to ten our of ten) that they will/do feel happier about their children travelling by train at night (after 6pm) with PSOs patrolling at train stations. Furthermore, agreement levels were marginally higher among parents who were night-time train users themselves.

The main reason parents and guardians of children disagreed (rating of zero to four out of ten) with the statement is because they generally believed their children were too young to travel on public transport and should not be out that late ( $50 \%$ ).


Will/do feel happier about my children travelling by train at night (after 6pm)


Will/do feel happier about my children travelling by train at night (after 6pm)


## Overall Level of Agreement on PSOs Night-Time Train Users vs. Community

Consistent with Benchmark, support for PSOs was higher amongst night-time train users than the Greater Melbourne community, which may partly be due to the fact that they are the main beneficiaries. Furthermore, mean ratings for agreement among night-time train users significantly increased for the Evaluation study for all statements with exception being that 'Night time train travel will be safer', which was unchanged (Benchmark and Evaluation: both 8.5).

## Overall Level of Agreement on PSOs Mean Scores Ratings Comparison



[^0]00 Indicates a statistically significant difference between night-time train users and community means at $95 \%$ confidence level
, Indicates a statistically significant difference between two waves, with a 95\% confidence level

## Community - Overall Knowledge of PSOs' Powers

The majority of Community respondents correctly identified whether statements about PSOs were true or false. The least understood statement was "PSOs cannot prevent an alcohol or drug-affected person from driving a vehicle" where $51 \%$ were correct (stating it was false). Notably all falsely worded statements had lower levels of correct responses.

These findings indicate that the community in general has a good understanding of PSOs' powers. Further education on PSOs using powers outside the vicinity of train stations may be an area for consideration as there has been a decline in the community correctly answering this statement ( $64 \%$ to $56 \%$ ).


## Community - Views on PSOs' Responsibilities

Overall, the greater Melbourne community views were on par with PSOs current powers and mission statement. The top two actions PSOs should perform, according to the community in the Evaluation study, were firstly to be visible and do regular patrols (35\%) and secondly being aware, alert and preventing crimes (16\%). Fourteen percent also mentioned patrolling train carriages as well as train stations as being the most important thing PSOs should be doing to make the Melbourne's train system feel safer and more secure.

## Most Important Thing PSOs Should Be Doing To Make Melbourne's Train System Feel Safer And More Secure



Q10. Most important thing PSOs should be doing to feel safer and more secure? Base: All Community respondents ( $n=1,013$ ) for Benchmark, ( $n=1,009$ ) for Evaluation, Don't know and Other not reported. Codes less than 5\% in Evaluation are not shown.

## Community - Top 5 Most Important Things to Make Melbourne Train System Feel Safer and More Secure - By User Type

At the Total level, the Greater Melbourne community commented that there should be regular patrols, being present and visible (35\%), being aware and alert and act before problems arise (16\%) will make Melbourne's train system feel safer and more secure. These were also the top two for those in the community who travel by train during the day only and also those who travel after 6 pm . Those who were not train users tended to comment more than users that PSOs should patrol train carriages as well as train stations ( $21 \%$ ).


Q10 Given that PSOs will be patrolling train stations in and around Melbourne at night, what in your opinion is the most important thing they should be doing to make Melbourne's train system feel safer and more secure?

## Appendix

| Night-Time Train Users <br> Travel Behaviour - <br> Frequency of Train Travel | \% of Total <br> Benchmark <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | \% of Total <br> Evaluation <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 6 or 7 days a week | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| 5 days a week | $31 \%$ | $28 \% \boldsymbol{\square}$ |
| 3 or 4 days a week | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| 1 or 2 days a week | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Between 1 and 3 times per <br> month | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Once every 2-3 months | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Once every 4 to 6 months | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Once a year | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Less than once a year | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |


| Night-Time Train Users Travel Behaviour - Time of Day Travelled by Train in the Last 12 months | \% of Total Benchmark 2012 | \% of Total <br> Evaluation 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fri to Sun between 6 pm 10pm (weekend early evening) | 83\% | 83\% |
| Mon to Thurs between 6pm - 10pm (weekday early evening) | 81\% | 83\% |
| Fri to Sun between 10pm 2am (weekend late evening) | 46\% | 46\% |
| Mon to Thurs between 10pm - 2am (weekday late evening) | 36\% | 36\% |
| Travel infrequently / very rarely at night | 5\% | 1\% |


| Train Users Travel Behaviour - Travel Alone | \% of Total <br> Benchmark <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | \% of Total <br> Evaluation <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Travel alone | $73 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Travel with others I know (e.g. friends and family) | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ 个 |
| About equal | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| (Don't know / Refused) | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |

## Community - Train Travel Behaviours

| Community Travel <br> Behaviour - Frequency of <br> Train Travel | \% of Total <br> Benchmark <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | \% of Total <br> Evaluation <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 6 or 7 days a week | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 5 days a week | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| 3 or 4 days a week | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ 人 |
| 1 or 2 days a week | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Between 1 and 3 times per | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| month | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Once every 2 to 3 months | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Once every 4 to 6 months | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Once a year | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Less than once a year | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Never | $<1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Don't know |  |  |


| Community Travel <br> Behaviour - Time of Day <br> Travelled by Train in the <br> Last 12 months | \% of Total <br> Benchmark <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | \% of Total <br> Evaluation <br> 2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Travel during the day - <br> before 6pm | $65 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Fri to Sun between 6pm - <br> 10pm (weekend early <br> evening) | $32 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Mon to Thurs between 6pm <br> -10pm (weekday early <br> evening) | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Fri to Sun between 10pm - <br> 2am (weekend late <br> evening) | $19 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Mon to Thurs between <br> 10pm - 2am (weekday late <br> evening) | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Travel infrequently / very <br> rarely at night | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Don't know / Can't say | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |


| Community Travel Behaviour - Travel Alone | \% of Total <br> Benchmark <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | \% of Total <br> Evaluation <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Travel alone | $43 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Travel with others I know (e.g. friends or family) | $39 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| About equal | $18 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Don't know | $<1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Night-Time Train Users - Respondent Demographics

| Gender | \% of Total Benchmark 2012 | \% of Total <br> Evaluation 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 50\% | 51\% |
| Female | 50\% | 49\% |
| Age | \% of Total Benchmark 2012 | \% of Total <br> Evaluation <br> 2013 |
| 16 to 19 | 18\% | 17\% |
| 20 to 29 | 45\% | 44\% |
| 30 to 39 | 17\% | 19\% |
| 40 to 49 | 10\% | 10\% |
| 50 to 59 | 7\% | 6\% |
| 60 to 69 | 3\% | 3\% |
| 70+ | 1\% | <1\% |
| Prefer not to answer | <1\% | <1\% |

## Community - Respondent Demographics

| Age | Unweighted \% of Total Benchmark 2012 |  | Weighted \% of Total <br> Benchmark 2012 |  | Unweighted \% of Total Evaluation 2013 | Weighted \% of Total Evaluation 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 to 19 | 8\% |  | 6\% |  | 6\% | 6\% |
| 20 to 29 | 19\% |  | 19\% |  | 19\% | 19\% |
| 30 to 39 | 18\% |  | 19\% |  | 19\% | 19\% |
| 40 to 49 | 18\% |  | 18\% |  | 18\% | 18\% |
| 50 to 59 | 15\% |  | 15\% |  | 15\% | 15\% |
| 60 to 69 | 11\% |  | 11\% |  | 11\% | 11\% |
| 70+ | 12\% |  | 12\% |  | 12\% | 12\% |
| Gender | Unweighted \% of Total <br> Benchmark 2012 |  | Weighted \% of Total <br> Benchmark 2012 |  | Unweighted \% of Total Evaluation 2013 | Weighted \% of Total Evaluation 2013 |
| Male | 41\% |  | 49\% |  | 49\% | 49\% |
| Female | 59\% |  | 51\% |  | 51\% | 51\% |
| Household Income |  | Unweighted \% of Tota Benchmark 2012 |  | Weighted \% of Benchmark 2 | Unweighted \% of To Evaluation 2013 | Weighted \% of Total Evaluation 2013 |
| Less than \$20,000 (Less than $\$ 385$ per week) |  | 10\% |  | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| \$20,000 - \$39,999 (\$385- <br> 768 per week) |  | 15\% |  | 15\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 (\$769- <br> \$1,154 per week) |  | 14\% |  | 15\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000-\$ 79,999(\$ 1,155- \\ & \$ 1,539 \text { per week) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 11\% |  | 11\% | 13\% | 13\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 80,000-\$ 99,999(\$ 1,540- \\ & \$ 1,924 \text { per week) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 10\% |  | 10\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000-\$ 149,999 \\ & (\$ 1,925-2,887 \text { per week) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 12\% | 12\% |  | 12\% | 12\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \text { or more ( } \$ 2,888 \\ & \text { per week or more) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 7\% |  | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Don't know |  | 4\% |  | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Prefer not to answer |  | 17\% |  | 16\% | 15\% | 15\% |

Community - Respondent Demographics

| Household <br> Structure | Unweighted \% of Total Benchmark 2012 | Weighted \% of Total <br> Benchmark 2012 | Unweighted \% of Total Evaluation 2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighted \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Evaluation } 2013 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single or living alone | 16\% | 16\% | 18\% | 18\% |
| Single in shared accommodation | 8\% | 9\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Single and living with family / children | 16\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| Couple living together | 28\% | 29\% | 27\% | 27\% |
| Couple in shared accommodation | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Couple and living with family / children | 26\% | 27\% | 29\% | 29\% |
| Other | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Responsible for Children (parents or guardians) | Unweighted \% of Total Benchmark 2012 | Weighted \% of Total Benchmark 2012 | Unweighted \% of Total Evaluation 2013 | Weighted \% of Total <br> Evaluation 2013 |
| $0-4$ years old | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% |
| $5-12$ years old | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| 13-19 years old | 12\% | 12\% | 13\% | 13\% |
| Not responsible for any children | 68\% | 68\% | 68\% | 68\% |


[^0]:    Q10. Please indicate your level of agreement ( 0 to 10 scale; $0=$ Completely Disagree; $10=$ Completely Agree) and Q12. Please indicate your level of agreement ( 0 to 10 scale; $0=$ Completely Disagree; $10=$ Completely Agree).
    Base: All In-situ respondents ( $n=1,726$ ) for Benchmark, ( $n=1,687$ ) for Evaluation and All Community respondents ( $n=1,013$ ) for Benchmark, ( $n=1,009$ ) for Evaluation

